

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/773,333	Applicant(s) OKUMURA, HIROSHI	
	Examiner JOHANNES P. MONDT	Art Unit 3663	

All Participants:

(1) JOHANNES P. MONDT.

(2) Andrew J. Taska (Reg. No.: 54,666).

Date of Interview: 3 December 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
grounds of rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as applied

Claims discussed:
as rejected, i.e., 14, 16 and 29-36

Prior art documents discussed:
Nakamura (JP-2003-017502 A) as cited

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: appealed but allowed after interview.

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 8 AM

/JOHANNES P MONDT/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3663

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed an amendment that if accepted would place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant accepted the examiner amendment. Said examiner amendment is identical to the one recorded in the Notice of Allowance under "Examiner Amendment"..